

Condition	GMR-Fraport	Reliance-MICA	DS-FMG	Mac-ADP	Remarks
	<p>for a number of airports, moving from public to private ownership and putting in place turnaround strategies. Accordingly is has been given a high assessment.</p>	<p>the group. Have discounted due to the inadequacy of supporting information and absence of experience beyond home market.</p>		<p>countries with similar issues to the Indian airports.</p>	<p>compared to DS. When compared to Reliance a higher marking for DS is warranted.</p>
<p>1.1.8 Experience in operating and developing airports in non-OECD countries and a track record in improved performance.</p>	<p>Score: 5 Assessment: Fraport is assessed as having high capability in this area.</p>	<p>Score: 4 Assessment: ASA has undertaken performance improvements in respect to its airports, which is rated high. This has been discounted to medium to high given the lack of supporting information provided and absence of non</p>	<p>Score: 2 Assessment: FMG's experience in this area is assessed as low to medium since its experience is limited in non OECD countries appears to be limited to its consulting work, mainly in the area of ORAT.</p>	<p>Score: 5 Assessment: ADP is assessed as demonstrating a high capability in this area.</p>	<p>ASA has no experience in non-OECD countries and still it has been given a high ranking. Higher ranking should be given to DS in view of their consulting work which is relevant as per the comments of EC at other places.</p>