

<p>movements (provide information on ATMs)</p> <p>Bidder score (maximum score) – 0.7 (1.2)</p>	<p>the airport operator not rated higher than 3 on this factor?</p>	<p>accordingly it could only be rated as medium.</p> <p>The explanation was not found satisfactory.</p>
<p>4.2.7 – Experience in airport development from single to multiple runway configuration</p> <p>Bidder score (maximum score) – 0.5 (1.2)</p>	<p>The representative of the Planning Commission and Chairman, AAI sought a clarification as to why we assessed the experience in moving from a single to a multiple runway configuration as this resulted in a lower mark for Bidder C?</p>	<p>The Consultants explained that the RFP document requires an assessment of experience in moving from a single to a multiple runway configuration and this is very relevant to the airport as the appointed JVC will need to undertake this operation as an important part of its development plan.</p>
<p>5.1 – Master planning</p> <p>Bidder score (maximum score) – 4.4 (7.4)</p>	<p>The representative of the Planning Commission and Chairman, AAI sought a clarification as to why has the airport operator only been given a medium score for the staff committed to master planning?</p>	<p>The Consultants explained that the staff were assessed on the basis of the level of experience and how current that experience was. The leader of the team while having significant experience had not had recent experience and the support staff have not had broad based experience.</p> <p>The explanation was not found satisfactory on the ground that the factor has not been consistently applied across other bidders.</p>
<p>6.2 – Development path</p> <p>Bidder score (maximum score) – 4.1 (8.9)</p>	<p>Chairman, AAI sought a clarification regarding the low mark for the development path in case of Bidder C?</p>	<p>The Consultants explained that this factor was assessed on the basis of development cost, development path that achieves the completion of Stage 1 activities by the designated date and a development path that matches the projected growth. Bidder Cs' plan is very high cost, has problems with staging in Stage 1 due to the very large scale of work and does not match closely development to traffic at least in the first two stages.</p>

Bidder "D"

Evaluation Criteria/ Factor	IMG Query	Evaluation Committee's response
--------------------------------	-----------	---------------------------------

13/22