

Condition	GMR-Fraport	Reliance-MICA	DS-FMG	Mac-ADP	Remarks
	Assessed as medium.				
2.1.3 Experience and level of management resources committed to the transaction in each area of airport management including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - aeronautical operations - traffic and route development and marketing - cargo handling - slot management - terminal operations - airport retail operations - airport property operations - environment management 	Score: 3 Assessment: Assessed as medium due to uncertainty of commitment.	Score: 4.5 Assessment: Assessed as high with a small discount due to the lack of diversity of staff experience outside Mexico.	Score: 4.5 Assessment: Assessed as high level of committed experience with a small discount due to lack of detail and experience limited to one airport.	Score: 3 Assessment: Assessed as medium due to uncertainty on the majority of senior positions.	For DS the discount applied for not sufficient details and only one airport experience does not appear warranted since the level of staff has been assigned and the one airport is at a very high ranking. If required the necessary details could have been sought. Compared to the high mark for ASA which has experience in a substantially lower ranked airport, higher marks for FMG and ADP operating highly ranked airports need to be given.
2.2 Commitment by other Prime Members: Approach: Not clear if the RFP mention separate weightage for Indian experience?					
2.2.1 Experience and level of management resources committed by the other Prime Members in non-	Score: 4 Assessment: GMR has a strong commitment to the project and	Score: 4.5 Assessment: The commitment of Reliance as assessed by the range and quality	Score: 4.5 Assessment: The commitment of DS is assessed as high given the number and experience of the	Score: 2 Assessment: Owing to lack of information on the roles of the people nominated the assessment has had to be	Mac has substantial international experience and it has provided a big and relevant experience team. The low score of 2 on account of roles not being identified does not appear justified. This could have been